



Interview Summary

Application No. **08/625,163**

Applicant(s)

Mabry et al

Examiner

Edward Cain

Group Art Unit 1511



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):	
(1) Edward Cain	(3)
(2) Peter McDermott	
Date of Interview Jun 5, 1997	-
Type: 🛮 Telephonic 🗀 Personal (copy is given to 🗀 applicant 🗀 applicant's representative).	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes No. If yes, brief description:	
Agreement 🛛 was reached. Claim(s) discussed: All	
Identification of prior art discussed: None	
	le. Mr. McDermott agreed to cancel claims 31-64 as
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendents which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)	
1. X It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.	
Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.	
each of the objections, rejections and requirements claims are now allowable, this completed form is completed.	cluding any attachments) reflects a complete response to that may be present in the last Office action, and since the onsidered to fulfill the response requirements of the last ling a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above EDWARD J. CAIN PRIMARY EXAMINER CROUBLESSO
Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an att	tachment to a signed Office action.